| AME  | NDMENT OF SOLICIT   | ATION / MODIFI                                   | CATION         | OF C    | ONTRACT                 | 1. Contract      | Number  | Page of F      | Pages<br>2 |
|--|---|--|----------------|---------|-------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|------------|
| 2. Amer<br>A002  | ndment/Modification Number  | 3. Effective Date                                |                | 4. Req  | uisition/Purchase R     | I<br>Request No. | 5. Caption Construction Management Services Feeder Construction | rices for DC F | PLUG       |
| District<br>Office of<br>Departs<br>55 M S   | 6. Issued by:  Office of Contracting and Procurement supporting the District Department of Transportation  See Block 6  See Block 6  Washington, DC 20003 |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 8. Name and Address of Contractor (No. street, city, county, state and zip code)  9A. Amendment of Solicitation No. DCKA-2021-D-0018   |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
|  |   |  |                | -       | 9B. Dated<br>12/17/2020 |                  |   |                |            |
|  |   |  |                |         |                         | ation of Contra  | ct/Order No.  |                |            |
| Code   |   | Facility   |                |         | 10B. Dated              |                  |   |                |            |
|  | •   | 11. THIS ITEM ONLY                               | APPLIES TO     | AMEN    | DMENTS OF SOLI          | CITATIONS        |   |                |            |
| The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers  is extended.  is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:  (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) BY separate letter or fax which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment number. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such may be made by letter or fax, provided each letter or telegram makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.  12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If Required): |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
|  |   | HIS ITEM APPLIES ON                              |                |         |                         |                  | ERS,  |                |            |
|  | A. This change order is issue   | IT MODIFIES THE COL<br>ed pursuant to (Specify A |                | DER N   | O. AS DESCRIBED         | O IN ITEM 14     |   |                |            |
|  | The changes set forth in  | tem 14 are made in the                           | contract/ord   |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
|  | B. The above numbered cont etc.) set forth in item 14, p  | ursuant to the authority                         | of 27 DCMR     | , Chapt | er 36, Section 3601     | I.3(a)           | in paying office, a   | appropriation  | data       |
|  | C. This supplemental agreement is entered into pursuant to authority of: 27 DCMR § 3601.2  D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)          |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| E. IMP   | ORTANT: Contractor ☐ is   | not is required to s                             | sign this docu | ıment a | nd returncopie          | es to the issuir | ng office.  |                |            |
| 14. Description of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF Section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)  |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| The purpose of this amendment is to:   |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
|  |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 1)   | 1) Extend the due date for the submittal of qualifications to 2/12/2021.  |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 2)   | 2) Strike § C.3.6 in its entirety.  |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 3)   | 3) Strike § G.7.2.13 in its entirety.   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 4)   | 4) Strike § G.9.1.1 in its entirety.  |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 5)   | S) Strike § G.17.7 in its entirety  |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
| 6)   | 5) Incorporate Attachment 1 – Final Q&A   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
|  |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |
|  |   |  |                |         |                         |                  |   |                |            |

| AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT                          |                         |  | A002                            | Page o      | of Pages<br>2 |
|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|   | THIS SPACE INT          | TENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK   |                                 |             |               |
| Except as provided herein, all terms and condit 15A. Name and Title of Signer | ions of the document is | 16A. Name and Title of Con William E. "Bill" Sharp Contracting Officer |                                 | orce and en | ect.          |
| 15B. Contractor/Offeror  (Signature)  | 15C. Date Signed        |  | C. Sharp<br>ontracting Officer) |             | te Signed     |

| Attachment 1 – Final Q&A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Question<br>Number       | Industry Question  | District's Response  |  |  |  |  |
| 2                        | Please advise whether a firm that is/will be providing design services for an existing or future DC Plug feeder design contract(s) will be permitted to provide construction management and inspection services as a subconsultant or JV member of a team pursuing the DCKA-2021-D-0018 opportunity advertised today, December 17, 2020. In addition, not knowing our status on DCKA-2020-Q-0040 DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE DC PLUG PROGRAM – 2ND AND 3RD BIENNIAL PLANS contract selection, please advise.   | Subcontractor: The District would not restrict subcontracting as long as the subcontractor's design scope of work does not create a conflict of interest with it's CMIS scope of work. For instance, if the subcontractor completed elements of the feeder design work, the prime could not leverage the subcontractor's first hand feeder design knowledge to compete for the CMIS requirement for the same feeder.  JV: JV's are subject to the same rules as prime contractors. If a member for the JV participated in the feeder design, the JV would not be eligible to participate in the CMIS task order competition for the same feeder. |  |  |  |  |
| 3                        | The RFQ states (p. 3) "The Consultant will be excluded from competing as a bidder or offeror either as a prime construction contractor or construction manager, or as a member of any team for such purposes, for any future DC PLUG construction, and construction management projects where the Consultant has performed design services during the life of this Contract, unless released by OCP at OCP's sole option and discretion."  Since there are multiple Design Teams working on the DC PLUG initiative, will a current design Company/Team be eligible to submit an offer for this CMI contract, provided that the Company/Team only perform CMI for PLUG Feeders that were designed by a different Design Team? | Yes. Prime consultants within the DC PLUG Program may respond to DCKA-2021-D-0018 (DC PLUG CMIS), but if selected, will only be permitted to compete for task order requirements on feeders they did not design.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4                        | Do you anticipate extending the bid due date? What additional details are you willing to provide, if any, beyond what is stated in bid documents concerning how you will identify the winning bid? Was this bid posted to the nationwide free bid notification website at www.mygovwatch.com/free? Other than your own website, where was this bid posted?   | 1) See Amendment A001 2) The relevant source selection information is located in Section M of the RFQ. 3 & 4) In accordance with District regulations, the RFQ was posted in the Washington Times, the OCP Solicitation website, and District Transportation Access Portal.  |  |  |  |  |
| 8                        | Would DDOT please define which firms cannot participate in this solicitation, either as prime consultant or subconsultant, by virtue of their involvement on current or previous contracts that have a conflicting interface with this contract or any other reason to not participate? Will primes or subcontractors who worked on the DDOT DC PLUG Pre Program Management, Program Management, or Feeder Design contracts be conflicted from participating on this contract?   | See the answer to Questions 2-3  |  |  |  |  |
| 9                        | The RFP states a number of key positions requiring the submittal of resumes. However in the RFP there are a number of other services cited for which resumes were not requested. Are we allowed to submit resumes for additional staff and/or reference in our org chart and proposal narrative the availability of resources to support the full range of services cited?   | Addition Resumes: No  Non-Key Personnel: Offerors may include the names and titles of additional non-key personnel that may be needed in the SF330 Section D to represent the offerors capacity to fulfill the requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10                       | The RFP under Section C .5.1 states "Place a designated representative in charge of the work to be performed under the task order who shall be an experienced Professional Engineer, whose registration is acceptable to the District's Board of Registration for Professional Engineers." Does this mean that the Designated Representative needs to a DC-registered PE? Is the designated representative referred to in C.5.1 to be the same person as the designated representative referred to in H.6.1?   | The designated representative must be a DC PE.  All qualifications shall meet the District's requirements as outlined in the RFQ. The designation of personnel to fulfill the requirements of §§ C.5.1 and H.6.1 are at the offeror's discretion.  |  |  |  |  |
| 11                       | For a CBE prime self-performing over 50% of the contract value, if subconsultants are needed, is it required to have at least 35% of contract value sub to CBE firms? Would that be acceptable to sub the 35% to SBE firms instead of CBE firms as the prime is a CBE?   | The CBE Prime consultant must self-perform 35% of the contracting effort with its own organization and resources and, if it subcontracts, 50% of the subcontracted effort shall be with certified business enterprises.  If a CBE joint venture, the prime CBE must self-perform 50% of the contracting effort with its own organization and resources and, if it subcontracts, 50% of the subcontracted effort shall be with certified business enterprises.  For the purposes of subcontracting, SBE firms have preference.  |  |  |  |  |
| 12                       | If the Prime is a CBE, are they required to have at least 35% CBE subconsultant participation?   | No, a prime CBE is not obligated to subcontract any percentage of the work.  |  |  |  |  |

| 13 | Should the submission also include SF330 Part IIs for all subconsultants?  Can the submission be sent electronically?   | SF 330 Part II: Yes. If a firm has subconsultants, submit a separate SF330 Part II for each subconsultant that has a key role on the team.  |  |  |  |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|
|    | ,   | Electronic Submissions: Yes, see the instructions at § L.11.2.5.  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Page 4 of 73 references an Education Plan but does not state whose responsibility it is.  | § C.2.2 provides background information regarding the DC PLUG Program to set the context for the scope of work. The Education Plan speaks to a directive issued by the Public Service Commission to DDOT and PEPCO. This is only provided for informational purposes, and is not a requirement under this solicitation.                         |  |  |  |
| 17 | The RFP States "DDOT will hire a "PMC" to be responsible for planning, design, schedule, budget, construction, and coordination." But never mentions the PMC again in the RFP or the C.3.1 Definitions paragraph, nor any relationship to the CM. Can you elaborate further on the distinction of responsibilities between the PMC and the CM? For example, the RFP states that "DDOT is responsible for design, and construction inspection of the sites, and preparing relocation of underground utilities, and new underground conduit and facilities." Are the later three going to be the responsibility of the CM and DDOT exclusively, or is the PMC involved as well? | many entities accomplishing some part of the program's objectives. Offerors should focus on the requirements described in § C as it represents the District's requirement   |  |  |  |
| 18 | Does para C.3.1.2 "construction contractor" refer to the PMC, the actual construction contractor(S) being monitored by the CM, or the CM?   | As described in § C.3.1.2, it represents the "construction firm to which the District has awarded a contract to implement the DC PLUG Feeder Construction projects".  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Page 4, states "project phasing between DDOT and PEPCO is required" - is this a PMC or CM responsibility?   | § C.2.4 provides background information regarding the DC PLUG Program to set the context for the scope of work. It is describing the complex nature of the DC PLUG Program due to the multi-agency coordination needed to ensure certain elements (design, schematics, etc.) come together timely to facilitate a successful project execution. |  |  |  |
| 20 | The table in G.10.4.1 covers years 2021-24 – is it missing the 5th year of the contract?  | No, the table is a forecast that is subject to change based on the requirements of the DC PLUG program.   |  |  |  |
| 21 | Please further define what paragraph G.10.4.2 refers to?  | It is defining the IDIQ contract minimum. Each awardee will receive at least one feeder design for the life of the contract including all option periods.   |  |  |  |
| 22 | The RFP requests Public Participation Capability however no resume is required. Should one be submitted with our proposal or information provided in Section H?   | No additional resumes will be permitted. However, offerors may include the names and titles of additional non-key personnel that may be needed in the SF330 Section D to represent the offerors capacity to fulfill the requirement.  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Please explain what "Any Associates will be disqualified" on Page 69, L.19.3 means.   | The District will only award to individual firms. Individual firms include Joint Ventures (JV) as a JV is an individual entity, but it precludes an award to multiple associated entities regardless of their relationship.   |  |  |  |
| 25 | Is the Solicitation/Contract Form to be submitted with the SF 330?  | Yes, see § L.6  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Will the SF 330 Part II be count as part of the 40 pages?   | Yes, they are included in the page count.   |  |  |  |
| 27 | Can the proposer submit resumes consisting of 2 pages?  | Yes   |  |  |  |
| 28 | Can the proposer submit project descriptions consisting of 2 pages?   | Yes   |  |  |  |
| 29 | The RFQ states "printed & bound, but says emailed "scanned original" on page 67 in Sections L.11.1.1 & L.11.2.5. Due to this being an electronic submission, there will not be any printed and bound copies submitted to DDOT. We would like to request that this language be removed from the RFQ.   | We do not see a reference to "printed & bound" in the solicitation. The § L.11.1.1 reference to "bound" means that offerors should not separate the sections of the SF330. The sections should be electronically combined to a single file.   |  |  |  |
| 30 | Are electronic signatures accepted for execution of the cover letter and SF 330?  | The intent was to have the scanned copies signed in ink. However, a digital signature is also acceptable.   |  |  |  |
| 31 | If the Prime is qualified as SBE/CBE/WBE, does the Prime still have to sub 35% of the work to another SBE or do they fulfill the requirements?  | No, a prime CBE is not obligated to subcontract any percentage of the work.   |  |  |  |